Head-to-Head Winner

A candidate is a **Head-to-Head winner** if he or she beats all other candidates by majority rule when they meet head-to-head (one-on-one).

To decide if a Head-to-Head winner exists:

Every candidate is matched on a one-on-one basis with every other candidate.

> Drawback: there may not exist a Head-to-Head winner.

Example – Head-to-Head Winner

Example: Suppose that three candidates, *A*, *B*, and *C* are ranked as follows:

Number of Votes	4	3	2
First Choice	A	В	С
Second Choice	В	С	В
Third Choice	С	A	A

- *A* vs. *B*: *B* wins 5 to 4
- A vs. C: C wins 5 to 4 B is the Head-to-Head winner.
- **B vs. C:** B wins 7 to 2
- Note: if *C* were to drop out, the result is unchanged; the Plurality winner is not the Head-to-Head winner.

Example

A group of 13 students have to decide among three types of pizza: Sausage (S), Pepperoni (P), and Cheese (C). Their preference rankings are shown below. Pepperoni pizza wins using the Borda count but Cheese is the head-to-head winner.

Number of votes	5	4	2	2
First choice	С	Р	S	Р
Second choice	Р	С	С	S
Third choice	S	S	Р	С

Borda count does not satisfy the Head-to-Head Criterion

Head-to-Head Criterion

If a candidate is the head-to-head winner, the voting method selects that candidate as the winner.

• The Borda Count, Plurality, and Pluralitywith-Elimination methods do not satisfy the Head-to-Head Criterion.

Monotonicity

When a candidate wins an election and, in a reelection, the only changes are changes that favor that candidate, then that same candidate should win the reelection.

Number of votes	\$ 6	* 3
First choice	A	В
Second choice	В	A

Majority rule is monotone and is the only method for two-candidate elections that is monotone, treats voters equally, and treats both candidates equally.

Plurality-with-Elimination is Not Monotone

Monotonicity: When a candidate wins an election and, in a reelection, the only changes are changes that favor that candidate, then that same candidate should win the reelection.

Number of Votes	7	6	5	3
First choice	А	B	C	X
Second choice	B	А	*	C
Third choice	С	С	A	8
Fourth choice	×	≫	ß	A

D is eliminated.

B is eliminated.

A is the Winner.

Number of votes	7	6	5	3
First choice	А	В	С	D
Second choice	В	А	В	C
Third choice	С	С	А	В
Fourth choice	D	D	D	А

A is the winner, so now suppose the voters in the last column raise A to first place.

Number of Votes	7	6	5	3
First choice	А	В	×	A
Second choice	В	А	В	\mathbf{N}
Third choice	×	×	А	8
Fourth choice	\mathbf{N}	\mathbf{N}	Ø	В

Eliminate D.

Eliminate C.

B wins!

Monotonicity Criterion

A voting method satisfies the Monotonicity Criterion if the method is monotone.

- The Plurality-with-Elimination method does not satisfy the Monotonicity Criterion.
- Plurality and the Borda Count do satisfy this criterion.

Irrelevant Alternatives Criterion

When a voting system satisfies the Irrelevant Alternatives Criterion, the winner under this system always remains the winner when a nonwinner is dropped from the ballot.

Number of Votes	4	3	2
First Choice	A	В	С
Second Choice	В	C	В
Third Choice	С	A	A

If C drops out, B becomes the winner with the Plurality method.

Plurality Voting does not satisfy the Irrelevant Alternatives Criterion.

Fairness Criteria for Voting Methods

- Majority Criterion: If a candidate is the majority winner, the voting method selects that candidate as the winner.
- Head-to-Head Criterion: If a candidate is the head-to-head winner, the voting method selects that candidate as the winner.
- Monotonicity Criterion: If a candidate is the winner using the voting method, then the same candidate wins in a reelection where the only changes are changes that favor the candidate.
- Irrelevant Alternatives Criterion: If a candidate is the winner using the voting method, then the same candidate would win if a non-winner were to drop out.

Is there a voting method that satisfies all of these criteria?

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem

With three or more candidates, there cannot exist a voting system that always produces a winner and satisfies all four of the fairness criteria.

This theorem is named for Kenneth Arrow who proved a version of this theorem in 1951.