

# $L^p$ -SOLUTIONS OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

T. A. BURTON AND BO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We study fractional differential equations of Caputo type  ${}^c D^q x(t) = u(t, x(t))$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ , of both linear and nonlinear type. That equation is inverted as an integral equation with kernel  $C(t-s) := (1/\Gamma(q))(t-s)^{q-1}$ . We then transform the integral equation into one with kernel  $R(t-s)$  so that  $0 < R(t) \leq C(t)$  and  $\int_0^\infty R(s)ds = 1$ . A variety of techniques are introduced by which we are able to show that solutions are in  $L^p[0, \infty)$  for appropriate  $p \geq 1$ .

## 1. Introduction

The object of this paper is to present basic techniques for showing that the solutions of common fractional differential equations are in  $L^p[0, \infty)$  for some positive integer  $p$ . Here is a loose description of how we will proceed. Invert the fractional differential equation of Caputo type

$${}^c D^q x(t) = u(t, x(t)), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad x(0) \in \mathfrak{R}$$

as the standard integral equation

$$x(t) = x(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} u(s, x(s)) ds$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function. Because of the large and singular kernel it can be very difficult to study. Moreover, the  $x(0)$  is a constant source of difficulty. There are at least two reasonable ways to avoid those problems. We use both.

Stability theory assumes that we have an object in equilibrium,  $x(0) = 0$ , and we disturb the equilibrium with  $x(0) \neq 0$ , causing no further disturbance. We then study the subsequent position of the object.

An equally important study assumes that  $x(0) = 0$ , but we constantly perturb the object by an external force, say  $f(t)$ , and study the resulting position of the object. Three very common forms of  $f(t)$  are:

- (i)  $f(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (ii)  $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$ .
- (iii)  $f$  is periodic.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 34A08, 47G05, 34D20.

*Key words and phrases*.  $L^p$ -solutions, fractional differential equations, integral equations.

Our choice here is (ii) and we study both  $x(0) = 0$  and  $x(0) \neq 0$ . Moreover, the reader may consult Podlubny [7, p. 224] to see that the assumption of  $x(0) = 0$  is of general use and not simply contrived to meet our requirements. For that case we study

$${}^c D^q x(t) = u(t, x(t)) + f(t), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad f \in L^p[0, \infty), \quad x(0) = 0.$$

The inversion is then

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [u(s, x(s)) + f(s)] ds$$

and we are thwarted because  $f \in L^1[0, \infty)$  yields  $\int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , but generally not in  $L^p[0, \infty)$ .

Fortunately, the kernel is a completely monotone function and that means that the resolvent kernel,  $R(t)$ , is positive,  $\int_0^\infty R(t) dt = 1$ , and completely monotone. But to really utilize this wonderful resolvent we need one assumption:  $u(t, x)$  must contain a linear term “ $-x$ ”, Much of the point here is that we can successfully render this true by a variety of techniques, not the least of which is the elementary device of writing  $u(t, x) = -x + x + u(t, x)$ .

With this assumption in hand we decompose our equation into a pair:

$$z(t) = x(0) - \int_0^t R(t-s)x(0) ds$$

and

$$x(t) = z(t) + \int_0^t R(t-s)[x(s) + u(s, x(s)) + f(s)] ds.$$

Here,  $z(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ; or  $z(t) = 0$  if  $x(0) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\int_0^t R(t-s)f(s) ds \in L^1[0, \infty)$  if  $f \in L^1[0, \infty)$ . This gives us a real chance of proving  $x \in L^p[0, \infty)$ , a chance that was essentially zero in the original form.

In the next pages we offer a set of examples showing how this can be done. We will introduce a positive constant,  $J$ , which preserves the complete monotonicity of the kernel and makes a number of things possible. Not the least of these is that mappings which were only Lipschitz become contractions.

## 2. BASIC THEORY

Here are the details of the decomposition described in Section 1. The inversion of the Caputo equation into an integral equation when  $u(t, x)$  is continuous is verified in ([4, p. 54], [3, pp. 78, 86, 103]). This equation has a unique solution as seen in [1] or [8]). We begin with

$$(1) \quad {}^c D^q x(t) = u(t, x), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad x(0) \in \mathfrak{R}$$

where  $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  is continuous. At this point a positive constant  $J$  is introduced and we invert (1) as

$$x(t) = x(0) + \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \frac{u(s, x(s))}{J} ds.$$

In our subsequent work, the function “ $-x$ ” is introduced in a well-motivated way. But here we simply add and subtract to obtain

$$(2) \quad x(t) = x(0) + \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left[ -x(s) + x(s) + \frac{u(s, x(s))}{J} \right] ds$$

Denote the kernel by

$$(3) \quad C(t) = \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)} t^{q-1}$$

so that for any  $T > 0$  we have the critical property that

$$\int_0^T |C(u)| du < \infty.$$

Following Miller [6, pp. 193-22] we note that  $C(t)$  is completely monotone on  $(0, \infty)$  in the sense that  $(-1)^k C^{(k)}(t) \geq 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Moreover  $C(t)$  satisfies the conditions of Miller’s Theorem 6.2 on p. 212. That theorem states that if the resolvent equation for the completely monotone kernel  $C$  is

$$(4) \quad R(t) = C(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)R(s)ds$$

then that resolvent kernel,  $R$ , satisfies

$$(5) \quad 0 \leq R(t) \leq C(t) \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ so that as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ then } R(t) \rightarrow 0$$

and that

$$(6) \quad C \notin L^1[0, \infty) \implies \int_0^\infty R(s)ds = 1.$$

Continuing on to [6, pp. 221-224 (Theorem 7.2)] we see that  $R$  is also completely monotone.

Next, under the conditions here, it is shown in Miller [6, pp. 191-207] that (2) can be decomposed into

$$(7) \quad z(t) = x(0) - \int_0^t C(t-s)z(s)ds$$

with

$$z(t) = x(0) - \int_0^t R(t-s)x(0)ds = x(0)[1 - \int_0^t R(s)ds]$$

and, having found  $z(t)$ , then the solution  $x(t)$  of (2) solves

$$(8) \quad x(t) = z(t) + \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[ x(s) + \frac{u(s, x(s))}{J} \right] ds.$$

Notice that  $z(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

The kernel in (2) is not integrable on  $[0, \infty)$ , but in (8) it is replaced, not only by an integrable kernel, but the value of the integral is one and the new kernel is also completely monotone.

### 3. $f \in L^1[0, \infty)$ : ELEMENTARY ARGUMENTS

Our first theorem, together with the preparation for it, can be viewed as an introduction to and a simple and transparent example of several of our subsequent results. It shows the basic selection of the constant  $J$  which is one of the most essential parts of the study. The linear equation

$$(9) \quad {}^c D^q x = f(t) - a(t)x(t), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad x(0) = 0,$$

is inverted as

$$(10) \quad x(t) = x(0) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [a(s)x(s) - f(s)] ds$$

where  $a, f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  are continuous and there are positive numbers  $\epsilon$  and  $M$  with

$$(11) \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq a(t) \leq M.$$

We will exchange the kernel in (10) for  $R(t-s)$ , but first we will reduce  $a(t)$  to a function bounded by  $\alpha < 1$ . Define  $J = \epsilon + (1/2)(M - \epsilon)$ . Then there is an  $\alpha$  with

$$(12) \quad J > 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad |a(t) - J| < \alpha J.$$

Note that we may choose  $\alpha = (M - \epsilon)/(M + \epsilon)$ . In fact, if we write  $J = (M + \epsilon)/2$ , then by (11) we have

$$\epsilon - J \leq a(t) - J \leq M - J.$$

This implies that

$$-\frac{1}{2}(M - \epsilon) \leq a(t) - J \leq \frac{1}{2}(M - \epsilon)$$

and so

$$|a(t) - J| \leq \frac{1}{2}(M - \epsilon) = \frac{M - \epsilon}{M + \epsilon} J =: \alpha J.$$

Since  $x(0) = 0$ , we write (10) as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [Jx(s) + (a(s) - J)x(s) - f(s)] ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t J(t-s)^{q-1} \left[ x(s) + \frac{(a(s) - J)}{J} x(s) - \frac{f(s)}{J} \right] ds \\ &=: -\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t J(t-s)^{q-1} \left[ x(s) + \beta(s)x(s) - \frac{f(s)}{J} \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\beta(t)| \leq \alpha < 1$ .

The kernel is still completely monotone so the resolvent has exactly the same properties as the  $R$  we discussed before, so we retain the name of  $R$ . Next, decompose this equation into  $z(t) = 0$  and

$$(13) \quad x(t) = F(t) - \int_0^t R(t-s)[\beta(s)x(s)]ds$$

with

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{J} \int_0^t R(t-s)f(s)ds, \quad \beta(s) = \frac{a(s) - J}{J}.$$

Notice that  $f \in L^1$  implies  $F \in L^1$ .

Condition (11) will be critical in Section 4 for general  $p$ , but we will see in two steps that it can be changed to  $-\infty \leq a(t) \leq \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If (11) holds and if  $f \in L^1[0, \infty)$ , then the solution of (9) is in  $L^1[0, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |x(s)|ds &\leq \int_0^t |F(s)|ds + \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s)\alpha|x(s)|dsdu \\ &= \int_0^t |F(s)|ds + \int_0^t \int_s^t R(u-s)du\alpha|x(s)|ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t |F(s)|ds + \int_0^t \alpha|x(s)|ds \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(1 - \alpha) \int_0^t |x(s)|ds \leq \int_0^t |F(s)|ds,$$

as required. □

In many problems we find that  $\beta(t)$  in the above proof can be arbitrarily large for small values of  $t$ , but eventually it is dominated by  $\alpha < 1$ . This can happen in two simple ways. First, it may be that  $a(t)$  is asymptotically periodic in the sense that  $a(t) = b(t) + c(t)$  where  $b(t)$  is a positive periodic function, while  $c(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In a more complicated way, it may happen that  $b(t)$  is again a positive periodic function, but  $c \in L^1[0, \infty)$ . In this case,  $\int_0^t R(t-s)c(s)ds \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and some algebraic work must be done to bring us to the desired conclusion. The following lemma allows us to avoid those difficulties.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $G(t)$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , then for  $\int_0^{t/2} R(v)dv > 1/2$  it follows that*

$$(1/2) \int_0^{t/2} |G(s)|ds \leq \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s)|G(s)|dsdu.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1/2) \int_0^{t/2} |G(s)| ds &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^{t/2} R(v) dv |G(s)| ds \\
&\leq \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^{t-s} R(v) dv |G(s)| ds \\
&= \int_0^{t/2} \int_s^t R(u-s) du |G(s)| ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t \int_s^t R(u-s) du |G(s)| ds \\
&= \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) |G(s)| ds du.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the next result, if  $K = 0$  then it is essentially Theorem 3.1 and the lemma is not used.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that there is a number  $K > 0$  and (11) holds for  $t \geq K$  and that  $f \in L^1[0, \infty)$ . Then the solution of (9) is in  $L^1[0, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $J, \alpha, \beta(t)$  as in (12) and (13). Let  $\beta^* : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  be a continuous function with  $0 \leq \beta^*(t) \leq 1 - \alpha$  if  $0 \leq t \leq K$  and  $\beta^*(t) = 1 - \alpha$  if  $t > K$ . We have from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t |x(s)| ds &\leq \int_0^t |F(s)| ds + \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) |\beta(s)| |x(s)| ds du \\
&\leq \int_0^t |F(s)| ds + \int_0^K \int_0^u R(u-s) |\beta(s)| |x(s)| ds du \\
&\quad + \int_K^t \int_0^u R(u-s) |x(s) - (1-\alpha)x(s)| ds du \\
&=: \mu(t) + \int_K^t \int_0^u R(u-s) [|x(s)| - (1-\alpha)|x(s)|] ds du \\
&\leq \mu(t) + \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) |x(s)| ds du - \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) \beta^*(s) |x(s)| ds du.
\end{aligned}$$

Interchange the order of integration in the next-to-last integral and then cancel it against the term on the left-hand-side of the display.

This yields

$$\int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) \beta^*(s) |x(s)| ds du \leq \mu(t).$$

By Lemma 3.2 if  $t$  is so large that  $\int_0^t R(u) du > 1/2$  and  $t > 2K$  then

$$(1/2) \int_K^{t/2} (1-\alpha) |x(s)| ds \leq (1/2) \int_0^{t/2} \beta^*(s) |x(s)| ds \leq \mu(t),$$

as required.  $\square$

Using the lemma we can now let  $\epsilon = 0$  in (11). Here,  $M$  will take the place of  $J$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let*

$${}^c D^q x = -a(t)h(t, x) + f(t), \quad x(0) = 0,$$

with  $f \in L^1[0, \infty)$ ,  $0 \leq a(t) \leq M$ , and  $|h(t, x)| \leq |x|$  for all  $(t, x), t \geq 0$ . If, in addition,  $xh(t, x) \geq 0$ , then any solution satisfies

$$\int_0^{t/2} |(a(s)/M)h(s, x(s))| ds \leq 2 \int_0^t |\tilde{F}(s)| ds$$

where  $t$  is large enough that  $\int_0^t R(s) ds > 1/2$  and

$$\tilde{F}(t) = \frac{1}{M} \int_0^t R(t-s)f(s) ds.$$

*Proof.* Invert the equation as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [a(s)h(s, x(s)) - f(s)] ds \\ &= -\frac{M}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [(a(s)/M)h(s, x(s)) - (f(s)/M)] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then we add and subtract  $x(s)$  in the integrand and decompose in the usual way. As  $x(0) = 0$  we have  $z(t) = 0$  and our equation is

$$x(t) = \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[ x(s) - \frac{a(s)}{M} h(s, x(s)) + \frac{f(s)}{M} \right] ds.$$

Here we again retain the name of  $R(t)$ . Notice that

$$\left| x(s) - \frac{a(s)}{M} h(s, x(s)) \right| = \left| x(s) \right| - \left| \frac{a(s)}{M} h(s, x(s)) \right|.$$

Now, take absolute values of both sides so that we have (for  $\tilde{F}(t)$  defined above)

$$|x(t)| \leq |\tilde{F}(t)| + \int_0^t R(t-s) [|x(s)| - |(a(s)/M)h(s, x(s))|] ds.$$

Integrate both sides from 0 to  $t$ . Interchange the order of integration in the first integral and cancel it with the left-hand-side. Apply the result in the previous proof to obtain

$$\int_0^{t/2} |(a(s)/M)h(s, x(s))| ds \leq 2 \int_0^t |\tilde{F}(s)| ds,$$

as required.  $\square$

We can relate the next theorem to Theorem 3.1 by noting that  $A = \epsilon$  and  $B = M$ ;  $\frac{\partial G(t,x)}{\partial x} = a(t)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose there are positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  so that for*

$${}^c D^q x = u(t, x) =: -G(t, x) + f(t), \quad x(0) \in \mathfrak{R}$$

*we have  $f \in L^1[0, \infty)$ ,  $G(t, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $f, G$  continuous,*

$$A \leq \frac{\partial G(t, x)}{\partial x} \leq B, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

*Then there is a unique solution tending to zero. If, in addition,  $x(0) = 0$  and for  $|x|$  sufficiently small there is a  $\beta < 1$  with*

$$\left| x - \frac{G(t, x)}{J} \right| \leq \beta |x|$$

*for some  $J > 0$ , then that unique solution is in  $L^1[0, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* The equation is inverted as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(0) + \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left[ -\frac{G(s, x(s))}{J} + \frac{f(s)}{J} \right] ds \\ &= \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left[ -x(s) + x(s) - \frac{G(s, x(s))}{J} + \frac{f(s)}{J} \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

so that  $z(t) = x(0)[1 - \int_0^t R(s) ds]$  and

$$x(t) = z(t) + F(t) + \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[ x(s) - \frac{G(s, x(s))}{J} \right] ds$$

with

$$F(t) = (1/J) \int_0^t R(t-s) f(s) ds.$$

It follows that  $F \in L^1[0, \infty)$  and  $F(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Now

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ x - \frac{G(t, x)}{J} \right] = 1 - \frac{\partial G(t, x)}{J \partial x}$$

and

$$0 < A \leq \frac{\partial G(t, x)}{\partial x} \leq B$$

so take  $J$  so large that

$$0 < 1 - (B/J) =: \alpha_1 < 1$$

and

$$0 < 1 - (A/J) =: \alpha_2 < 1.$$

In the second part of the theorem we may want  $J$  even larger.

Let  $(X_0, \|\cdot\|)$  be the Banach space of bounded continuous functions  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For fixed  $x(0)$ , define  $P : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$  by  $\phi \in X_0$  implies that

$$(P\phi)(t) = z(t) + F(t) + \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[ x(s) - \frac{G(s, x(s))}{J} \right] ds$$



and note that  $(P\phi)(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, if  $\phi, \eta \in X_0$  then

$$|(P\phi)(t) - (P\eta)(t)| \leq \int_0^t R(t-s) \left| \phi(s) - \frac{G(s, \phi(s))}{J} - \eta(s) + \frac{G(s, \eta(s))}{J} \right| ds.$$

This is a contraction with constant  $\alpha = \max[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = \alpha_2$  so  $P$  has a unique fixed point  $\phi \in X_0$ . That proves the first part of the theorem.

For the second part,  $z(t) = 0$ . Consider the aforementioned unique solution  $\phi$  and find  $T > 0$  so that  $s \geq T$  implies that

$$\left| \phi(s) - \frac{G(s, \phi(s))}{J} \right| \leq \beta |\phi(s)|.$$

Then interchanging the order of integration below, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |\phi(s)| ds &\leq \int_0^t |F(s)| ds + \int_0^t \int_s^t R(u-s) du |\phi(s) - G(s, \phi(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t |F(s)| ds + \int_0^T |\phi(s) - G(s, \phi(s))| ds + \int_T^t \beta |\phi(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(1 - \beta) \int_T^t |\phi(s)| ds \leq \int_0^t |F(s)| ds + \int_0^T |\phi(s) - G(s, \phi(s))| ds,$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

There is a simple result which the reader should have in mind when viewing the nonlinear problems.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $G(t) \geq 0$ . Then*

$$L(t) := \int_0^t R(t-s)G(s)ds \in L^1[0, \infty) \iff G \in L^1[0, \infty).$$

*Proof.* If  $G \in L^1$ , clearly  $L(t) \in L^1$ . If  $L(t) \in L^1$ , then for large  $t$

$$\int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s)G(s)dsdu \geq (1/2) \int_0^{t/2} G(s)ds$$

so  $G \in L^1$ .  $\square$

The proposition reverses the classical theorem that the convolution of two  $L^1$  functions is an  $L^1$  function.

#### 4. $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$

This section is divided into two parts depending on the initial condition.

**Case I:**  $x(0) = 0$ .

Return to (9)

$${}^c D^q x = f(t) - a(t)x(t), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad x(0) = 0$$

and (13)

$$x(t) = F(t) - \int_0^t R(t-s)[\beta(s)x(s)]ds$$

where

$$F(t) := \frac{1}{J} \int_0^t R(t-s)f(s)ds$$

and  $R(t)$  satisfies (4)

$$R(t) = C(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)R(s)ds$$

with  $C$  defined in (3) as

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} Jt^{q-1} \text{ for } t > 0.$$

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $f \in L^p$  then  $F \in L^p$  for any  $p \geq 1$  with  $\|F\|_p \leq \|f\|_p/J$  and  $F(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t R(t-s)|f(s)|ds &\leq \left( \int_0^t R(t-s)ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_0^t R(t-s)|f(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t R(t-s)|f(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_0^t R(s)ds \leq 1$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du &\leq J^{-p} \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s)|f(s)|^p ds du \\ &\leq J^{-p} \int_0^t \int_s^t R(u-s) du |f(s)|^p ds \\ &= J^{-p} \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} R(u) du |f(s)|^p ds \\ &\leq J^{-p} \int_0^\infty |f(s)|^p ds < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and thus,  $F \in L^p$  for any  $p \geq 1$  and  $\|F\|_p \leq \|f\|_p/J$ . The fact that  $F(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  follows from the inequality

$$|F(t)|^p \leq J^{-p} \int_0^t R(t-s)|f(s)|^p ds,$$

the convolution of an  $L^1$  function with a function tending to zero.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** For any  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_0^t R(t-s)|f(s)|ds \leq \left( \int_0^t R(t-s)|f(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** If (11) holds and if  $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$  for  $p \geq 1$ , then the solution of (9) is in  $L^p[0, \infty)$  with

$$\|x\|_p \leq \|f\|_p/[J(1-\alpha)].$$

*Proof.* We integrate (13) on  $[0, t]$  and use the triangle inequality of the  $L^p$ -norm (or Minkowski inequality) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^t |x(u)|^p du \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left( \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + \left[ \int_0^t \left( \int_0^u R(u-s)|\beta(s)x(s)|ds \right)^p du \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + \alpha \left( \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s)|x(s)|^p ds du \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Interchange the order of integration and use (6), the property for  $R(t)$ , leaving us with

$$(1-\alpha) \left( \int_0^t |x(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \int_0^t |F(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p}$$

or

$$\|x\|_p \leq \|f\|_p/[J(1-\alpha)].$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Case II:**  $x(0) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\tilde{R}(t) = 1 - \int_0^t R(s)ds$  and  $z(t) = x(0)\tilde{R}(t)$ . For  $x(0) \neq 0$ , we write the counterpart of (9) as

$$(9^*) \quad {}^c D^q x = f(t) - a(t)x(t), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

With  $\beta(s)$  defined in (13), this can be inverted as

$$x(t) = x(0) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t J(t-s)^{q-1} [x(s) + \beta(s)x(s) - \frac{f(s)}{J}] ds.$$

Then  $x(t)$  solves

$$(14) \quad x(t) = z(t) + F(t) - \int_0^t R(t-s)[\beta(s)x(s)]ds.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $R(t)$  be defined in (4). If  $\tilde{R}(t) = 1 - \int_0^t R(u)du$ , then*

$$(15) \quad \tilde{R}(t) = 1 - \int_0^t C(t-s)\tilde{R}(s)ds.$$

*Proof.* We integrate (4) from 0 to  $t$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t R(u)du &= \int_0^t C(u)du - \int_0^t \int_0^u C(u-s)R(s)dsdu \\ &= \int_0^t C(u)du - \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} C(u)duR(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$1 - \int_0^t R(u)du = 1 - \int_0^t C(u)du + \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} C(u)duR(s)ds.$$

Taking into account that  $\tilde{R}'(t) = -R(t)$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(t) &= 1 - \int_0^t C(u)du - \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} C(u)du\tilde{R}'(s)ds \\ &\quad (\text{integrate the last term by parts}) \\ &= 1 - \int_0^t C(u)du - \left[ \int_0^{t-s} C(u)du\tilde{R}(s) \Big|_{s=0}^{s=t} + \int_0^t C(t-s)\tilde{R}(s)ds \right] \\ &= 1 - \int_0^t C(u)du - \left[ - \int_0^t C(u)du + \int_0^t C(t-s)\tilde{R}(s)ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $R(t)$  be defined in (4) and  $\tilde{R}$  be defined in (15). Then  $\tilde{R}(t) \in L^k[0, \infty)$  for  $k \geq 1$  if and only if  $k > 1/q$ .*

*Proof.* We take Laplace transform of (15) to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{R}) = \mathcal{L}(1) - \mathcal{L}(C)\mathcal{L}(\tilde{R})$$

and so

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{R})(s) = \frac{1}{s[1 + \mathcal{L}(C)(s)]}.$$

We recall that  $\mathcal{L}(t^\nu)(s) = \Gamma(\nu+1)s^{-\nu-1}$  for  $\nu > -1$  and  $\Re e(s) > 0$  (see [7, p. 28]). Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}(C)(s) = \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)}\mathcal{L}(t^{q-1})(s) = \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)}\Gamma(q)s^{-q} = Js^{-q}.$$

Substitute this equation into the right-hand side of  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{R})(s)$  above to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{R})(s) = \frac{1}{s(1 + Js^{-q})} = \frac{s^{q-1}}{s^q + J}$$

and hence,

$$\tilde{R}(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{s^{q-1}}{s^q + J} \right] = E_{q,1}(-Jt^q)$$

(see [7, p. 21]), where  $E_{q,1}$  is a member of the two parameter family of Mittag-Leffler functions (generalized exponential functions) defined as

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0$$

with the property, in particular, that

$$|E_{q,1}(-Jt^q)| \leq \frac{\mu}{1 + Jt^q}$$

for some constant  $\mu$  and all  $t \geq 0$  (see [7, p. 35]). Let  $k > 1/q$  be fixed. Then

$$|\tilde{R}(t)|^k \leq (\mu/J)^k / t^{kq} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1$$

and thus,  $\tilde{R}(t) \in L^k[0, \infty)$  for all  $k > 1/q$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $1 \leq k \leq 1/q$ . We show that  $\tilde{R}(t) \notin L^k[0, \infty)$ . In fact, it follows from an asymptotic expansion formula for  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  (see [7, p. 33-34]) that

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = - \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{z^{-k}}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha k)} + O(|z|^{-1-p}) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$  is an arbitrary integer, and  $\mu \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi$  with  $\pi\alpha/2 < \mu < \min\{\pi, \pi\alpha\}$ . Now for  $p = 1$ , we have

$$E_{q,1}(-Jt^q) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} (-Jt^q)^{-1} + O(t^{-2q}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, there exists  $\eta > 0$  and  $T > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{R}(t) = E_{q,1}(-Jt^q) \geq \eta t^{-q} \quad \text{for } t \geq T$$

and hence,

$$|\tilde{R}(t)|^k \geq \eta^k t^{-kq} \quad \text{for } t \geq T.$$

Since  $0 < kq \leq 1$ , we see that  $\tilde{R} \notin L^k[0, \infty)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Suppose that (11) holds and  $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$  for  $p \geq 1$ . Then the solution  $x(t)$  of (9\*) with  $x(0) \neq 0$  is in  $L^p[0, \infty)$  if and only if  $p > 1/q$ . Moreover, if  $p > 1/q$ , then*

$$(16) \quad \|x\|_p \leq L \left[ |x(0)| \|\tilde{R}\|_p + \|f\|_p \right]$$

for some constant  $L > 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $p > 1/q$ , we have  $\tilde{R} \in L^p[0, \infty)$  by Lemma 4.5. It then follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_p &\leq \|z\|_p + \|F\|_p + \alpha\|x\|_p \\ &\leq |x(0)|\|\tilde{R}\|_p + \|f\|_p/J + \alpha\|x\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $x \in L^p[0, \infty)$  and (16) holds.

Conversely, suppose that  $1 \leq p \leq 1/q$ . Then  $\tilde{R} \notin L^p[0, \infty)$  by Lemma 4.5. We write (14) as

$$\tilde{R}(t)x(0) = x(t) - F(t) + \int_0^t R(t-s)[\beta(s)x(s)]ds.$$

If  $x \in L^p[0, \infty)$ , then

$$\|\tilde{R}\|_p \leq [\|x\|_p + \|f\|_p/J + \alpha\|x\|_p] / |x(0)| < \infty,$$

which yields  $\tilde{R} \in L^p[0, \infty)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark:** If  $f \equiv 0$ , then by (16), the zero solution of (9\*) is  $L^p$ -asymptotically stable. We also observe from (16) that solutions of (9\*) are uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded in  $L^p[0, \infty)$  for  $p > 1/q$  at  $t = 0$ .

We consider the nonlinear equation

$$(17) \quad {}^c D^q x = -a(t)x^3(t) + f(t), \quad 0 < q < 1$$

where  $a, f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  are continuous and there are positive numbers  $\epsilon$  and  $M$  such that (11) holds. We then find  $J > 0, \alpha < 1$  with  $|J - a(t)| \leq \alpha J$ . We invert (17) as

$$x(t) = x(0) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [a(s)x^3(s) - f(s)] ds$$

which we write

$$x(t) = x(0) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [Jx(t) - Jx(t) + a(s)x^3(s) - f(s)] ds.$$

We now decompose it into

$$z(t) = x(0) - \frac{J}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} z(s) ds$$

with solution  $z(t) = x(0)\tilde{R}(t)$  and

$$(18) \quad x(t) = z(t) + \int_0^t R(t-s) \left[ x(s) - x^3(s) + \frac{(J - a(s))}{J} x^3(s) \right] ds + F(t)$$

where  $R(t)$  is defined in (4) and

$$F(t) := \frac{1}{J} \int_0^t R(t-s)f(s)ds.$$

Note that  $F \in L^p$  if  $f \in L^p$  for  $p \geq 1$  and  $F(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Suppose (11) holds. If  $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$  for  $p \geq 1$  and  $|F(t)| < (1 - \alpha)\eta^3$  with  $0 < \eta < \sqrt{3}/3$  and  $(1 - \eta^2)^{3(p-1)} > \alpha$ , then the solution of (17) with  $x(0) = 0$  is in  $L^{3p}[0, \infty)$  with  $\|x\|_{3p} \leq L\|f\|_p^{1/3}$  for some constant  $L > 0$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x(0) = 0$ , we see that  $z(t) = 0$  and (18) becomes

$$(19) \quad x(t) = \int_0^t R(t-s)[x(s) - x^3(s) + \frac{(J-a(s))}{J}x^3(s)]ds + F(t).$$

First, we claim that  $|x(t)| < \eta$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If there is a  $\bar{t} > 0$  such that  $|x(\bar{t})| = \eta$  and  $|x(s)| < |x(\bar{t})|$  for all  $0 \leq s < \bar{t}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |x(\bar{t})| &\leq \int_0^{\bar{t}} R(\bar{t}-s)[(|x(s)| - |x(s)|^3) + \alpha|x(s)|^3]ds + |F(\bar{t})| \\ &\leq [|\eta| - |\eta|^3 + \alpha|\eta|^3] \int_0^{\bar{t}} R(\bar{t}-s)ds + |F(\bar{t})|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\eta - (1 - \alpha)\eta^3 > 0$ , this yields

$$(1 - \alpha)\eta^3 \leq |F(\bar{t})|$$

which contradicts the condition on  $F$ . Thus,  $|x(t)| < \eta$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Next, we set  $\gamma = 3(p - 1)$  and multiply (19) by  $(|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad & (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^{\gamma+1} + |x(t)|^3 (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma \\ & \leq \int_0^t R(t-s)[|x(s)| - |x(s)|^3]ds (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma \\ & + \alpha \int_0^t R(t-s)|x(s)|^3 ds (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma \\ & + |F(t)| (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Use Young's inequality to write

$$\begin{aligned} & (|x(s)| - |x(s)|^3) (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma+1} (|x(s)| - |x(s)|^3)^{\gamma+1} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^{\gamma+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(s)|^3 (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma \\ & \leq \frac{3}{\gamma+3} |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+3} (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^{\gamma+3} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|F(t)| (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma \leq K|F(t)|^p + \delta (|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^{\gamma+3}$$

where  $0 < \delta < (1 - \eta^2)^\gamma - \alpha$  and  $K = K(\delta)$  is a constant.

Substitute these inequalities into (20) and integrate on  $[0, t]$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^{\gamma+1} du + \int_0^t |x(u)|^3 (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^\gamma du \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma+1} \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) (|x(s)| - |x(s)|^3)^{\gamma+1} ds du \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^{\gamma+1} ds du \\ & + \frac{3\alpha}{\gamma+3} \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds du \\ & + \frac{\gamma\alpha}{\gamma+3} \int_0^t \int_0^u R(u-s) (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^{\gamma+3} ds du \\ & + K \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du + \delta \int_0^t (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^{\gamma+3} du. \end{aligned}$$

Interchange the order of integration, use the property  $\int_0^t R(u) du \leq 1$ , and cancel terms, leaving us with

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad & \int_0^t |x(u)|^3 (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^\gamma du \\ & \leq \frac{3\alpha}{\gamma+3} \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds + \frac{\gamma\alpha}{\gamma+3} \int_0^t (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^{\gamma+3} du \\ & + K \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du + \delta \int_0^t (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^{\gamma+3} du \\ & \leq (\alpha + \delta) \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds + K \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du \end{aligned}$$



The last line was obtained by noting that  $|x(t)| > |x(t)|^3$ . Since  $|x(t)| < \eta$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \eta^2)^\gamma \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds \\ & \leq \int_0^t |x(u)|^{\gamma+3} (1 - |x(u)|^2)^\gamma du \\ & = \int_0^t |x(u)|^3 (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^\gamma du \\ & \leq (\alpha + \delta) \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds + K \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\gamma + 3 = 3p$  and  $(1 - \eta^2)^\gamma > \alpha + \delta$ . Moving the next-to-last integral to the left-hand-side of the display, we see that  $x \in L^{3p}[0, \infty)$  and  $\|x\|_{3p} \leq L\|f\|_p^{1/3}$  for some constant  $L > 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *Suppose (11) holds. If  $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$  for  $p \geq 1$ , and if  $f$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the solution of (17) with  $x(0) = 0$  is in  $L^{3p}[0, \infty)$  with  $\|x\|_{3p} \leq L\|f\|_p^{1/3}$  for some constant  $L > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ , there exists a constant  $H > 0$  such that  $|f(t)| \leq H$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . For the fixed  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we choose  $\eta > 0$  so that  $0 < \eta < \sqrt{3}/3$  and  $(1 - \eta^2)^{3(p-1)} > \alpha$ . We see from the proof of Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(t)|^p & \leq J^{-p} \int_0^t R(t-s)|f(s)|^p ds \\ & \leq J^{-p} H^p \int_0^t R(t-s) ds \leq (H/J)^p \leq (2H/M)^p \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of  $J$ , where  $M$  is defined in (11). This yields

$$|F(t)| \leq 2H/M.$$

We may choose  $M$  sufficiently large so that  $2H/M < (1 - \alpha)\eta^3$ , and thus

$$|F(t)| \leq (1 - \alpha)\eta^3.$$

Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 4.7 are satisfied, and the assertion of Corollary 4.8 follows.  $\square$

We now consider the case  $x(0) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** *Suppose (11) holds. If  $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$  for  $p > 1/q$  and  $|F(t)| < (1 - \alpha)\eta^3$  with  $0 < \eta < \sqrt{3}/3$  and  $(1 - \eta^2)^{3(p-1)} > \alpha$ , then the solution of (17) is in  $L^{3p}[0, \infty)$  with*

$$(22) \quad \|x\|_{3p} \leq L \left[ |x(0)| \|\tilde{R}\|_p + \|f\|_p \right]^{1/3}$$

for some constant  $L > 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.7 (with an additional term) by working with (18). Since  $F(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $\|F\| < (1 - \alpha)\eta^3$  and  $|x(t)| < \eta$  for all  $t \geq 0$  if

$$|x(0)| + \|F\| < (1 - \alpha)\eta^3.$$

We now set  $\gamma = 3(p - 1)$ , multiply (18) by  $(|x(t)| - |x(t)|^3)^\gamma$ , and follow through the calculations in (20) and (21) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |x(u)|^3 (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^\gamma du \\ & \leq (\alpha + \delta) \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds + K \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du \\ & \quad + |x(0)| \int_0^t \tilde{R}(u) (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^\gamma du. \end{aligned}$$

Next, find  $0 < \delta_1 < (1 - \eta^2)^\gamma - (\alpha + \delta)$  and  $K_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(0)| \int_0^t \tilde{R}(u) (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^\gamma du \\ & \leq |x(0)|^p K_1 \int_0^t |\tilde{R}(u)|^p du + \delta_1 \int_0^t (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^{\gamma+3} du. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|x(t)| < \eta$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \eta^2)^\gamma \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds \\
 & \leq \int_0^t |x(u)|^{\gamma+3} (1 - |x(u)|^2)^\gamma du \\
 & = \int_0^t |x(u)|^3 (|x(u)| - |x(u)|^3)^\gamma du \\
 & \leq (\alpha + \delta + \delta_1) \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds \\
 & \quad + |x(0)|^p K_1 \int_0^t |\tilde{R}(u)|^p du + K \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists a constant  $K_2 > 0$  such that

$$(23) \quad \int_0^t |x(s)|^{\gamma+3} ds \leq K_2 \left[ |x(0)|^p \int_0^t |\tilde{R}(u)|^p du + \int_0^t |F(u)|^p du \right]$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Raising both sides of (23) to the power  $1/(\gamma + 3)$  and taking into account that  $\gamma + 3 = 3p$  and  $\tilde{R} \in L^p$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x\|_{3p} & \leq K_2^{\frac{1}{3p}} \left[ |x(0)|^p \|\tilde{R}\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p \right]^{\frac{1}{3p}} \\
 & \leq K_2^{\frac{1}{3p}} 2^{\frac{1}{3p}} \left[ |x(0)| \|\tilde{R}\|_p + \|f\|_p / J \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
 & \leq K_2^{\frac{1}{3p}} 2^{\frac{1}{3p}} (1 + 1/J)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[ |x(0)| \|\tilde{R}\|_p + \|f\|_p \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
 & =: L \left[ |x(0)| \|\tilde{R}\|_p + \|f\|_p \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10.** *Suppose (11) holds. If  $f \in L^p[0, \infty)$  for  $p > 1/q$ , and if  $f$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the solution of (17) is in  $L^{3p}[0, \infty)$ , and it satisfies (22).*

**Remark:** If  $f \equiv 0$ , then by (22), the zero solution of (17) is  $L^{3p}$ -asymptotically stable. One may also note that Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.9 are general results. Everything would work for

$${}^c D^q x = -a(t)x^{2n+1}(t) + f(t), \quad 0 < q < 1$$

for a positive integer  $n$ .

## Acknowledgments

Research of the second author was supported in part by the HBCU Master's Degree STEM Program Research Grant-2011 funded by Title III at Fayetteville State University.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. C. Becker, Resolvents and solutions of weakly singular linear Volterra integral equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*74(2011), 1892-1912.
- [2] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover, Mineola, New York, 2006.
- [3] Kai Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [4] V. Lakshmikantham , S. Leela, J. Vasundhara Devi, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cottenham, Cambridge, 2009.
- [5] V. Lakshmikantham and A. S. Vatsala, Basic theory of fractional differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69(2008), 2677-2682.
- [6] R. K. Miller, *Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Benjamin, Menlo Park, CA, 1971.
- [7] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [8] A. Windsor, A contraction mapping proof of the smooth dependence on parameters of solutions to volterra integral equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72(2010), 3627-3634.

NORTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE, 732 CAROLINE ST., PORT ANGELES, WA  
*E-mail address:* taburton@olypen.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FAYETTEVILLE  
STATE UNIVERSITY, FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28301  
*E-mail address:* bzhang@uncfsu.edu